JUN-04- Jun-04-03	2003 12:24 10:46am   From-Hogan & Hakisur	( 333 b U	209 948 0806   P.02/18 1-683   P.003/018   P-316	
S 6	HOGAN & HARTSON L.L.P. Kenneth D. Klein (Cal. Bar No. 085231) Amy M. Gallegos (Cal. Bar No. 211379) 500 South Grand Avenue Suite 1900 us Los Angeles, California 90071 Telephone: (213) 337-6700 Facsimile: (213) 337-6701  Attorneys for Petitioners Rita Cosby Greta Van Susteren	US OTATE OF C	FILED  03 JUN -4 PM 1: 10  CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT COUNTY OF STANISLAUS  BY  MANAGE DEPUT	
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
9	COUNTY OF	F STANISLAUS	filed By Fax	
10			I HOW BY IUN	
11	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION	Case No. 10	56770	
12	OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY OF THE COUNTY OF STANISLAUS, FOR AN		MOTION AND MOTION	
. 13	ORDER AUTHORIZING THE INTERCEPTION OF WIRE	INSPECTION	NS OF INTERCEPTED ATIONS; DECLARATION	
14	COMMUNICATIONS,	OF AMY M.	GALLEGOS IN	
15	People v. Scott Peterson	SUPPORT T		
16		<b>-</b> ,	Section 629.68]	
17		Date: 6/6/03 Time: 8:30 a.	.m.	
18		Dept: 2		
19	TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR COUNSEL	OF RECORD:		
20	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on June 6, 2003, at 8:30 a.m. in Department 2 of			
21	this Court, located at 1100 "I" Street, Modesto, California 95353, Rita Cosby and Greta			
22	Van Susteren ("Petitioners") will and hereby do move this Court for an order, pursuant to			
23	Penal Code § 629.68, authorizing them, their authorized representatives, and			
24	Petitioners' counsel to inspect certain intercepted communications. Specifically,			
25	Petitioners seek an order permitting them to obtain a copy of any intercepted			
26	conversations between themselves and Scott Peterson.			
27	#			
28	<i>#</i>			
	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR ORDER AUTHORIZING INSPECTIONS OF INTERCEPTED COMMUNICATIONS			
i	K NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR OFICER ALITHO	HIGHE INSPECTIONS OF	- INTERCEPTEU CUMMUNICA IKONS	

3

From-HOGAN & HARTSON

## MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

i

#### 1

4 5

6 7

8

10

## 11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27 28

•

1. Introduction

The issue before the court is whether two non-party journalists should be permitted to obtain transcripts, or review recordings, of conversations that were intercepted by wiretap, where such a review is necessary if they are to protect their rights under the Shield Law (Article 1, Section 2 of the California Constitution), and where there is no countervailing government interest in maintaining the confidentiality of the intercepted conversations.

### II. <u>Factual Background</u>

Petitioners Rita Cosby and Greta Van Susteren are television journalists employed by the FOX News Network. Ms. Van Susteren is the anchor of the television show "On the Record with Greta Van Susteren." Ms. Cosby is a senior correspondent for several FOX News programs including "FOX News Live with Rita Cosby."

In or about January 2003, Ms. Van Susteren and Ms. Cosby each made a number of telephone calls to Scott Peterson, in the course of their duties as television journalists, concerning the disappearance of his wife, Laci Peterson. At the time these calls were made, Mr. Peterson was under investigation by the police in connection with his wife's disappearance.

On or about May 2, 2003, Ms. Cosby and Ms. Van Susteren received copies of a Penal Code Section 629.68 inventory, notifying them that during the period of January 10, 2003 through February 4, 2003, Mr. Peterson's telephones had been wiretapped, and communications had been intercepted. (Declaration of Amy M. Gallegos ["Gallegos Decl."], Exh. A.)

By this motion, Ms. Van Susteren and Ms. Cosby seek an order permitting them to obtain a copy of any conversations between them and Mr. Peterson that were intercepted.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

III.

Jun-04-03

Penal Code Section 629.68 states: "The judge, upon filing of a motion, may, in his or her discretion, make available to the person or his or her counsel for inspection the portions of the intercepted communications . . . that the judge determines to be in the interest of justice." An order permitting Ms. Cosby and Ms. Van Susteren to receive a transcript of, or listen to, those portions of the intercepted communications that reflect their conversations with Mr. Peterson would serve the interests of justice.

Such an order would permit Ms. Cosby and Ms. Van Susteren to learn precisely which conversations were intercepted, and would aid them in the future should a situation arise where they must determine whether to assert their rights under Article I. Section 2(b) of the California Constitution (the "Shield Law"). Under the Shield Law, a prosecutor cannot compel a journalist to reveal unpublished information "obtained in the process of gathering, receiving, or processing information for communication to the public." Miller v. Superior Court, 221 Cal. 4th 883, 890 (1999); See also Cal. Const. Art. 1. § 2(b). The Shield Law serves the important public interest of safeguarding the autonomy of the press. See Miller, 221 Cal. 4th at 898. Ms. Van Susteren's and Ms. Cosby's conversations with Mr. Peterson occurred in the course of their coverage of the investigation of the disappearance of Laci Peterson; thus, these conversations fall squarely within the protection of the Shield Law. Because conversations that are clearly covered by the Shield Law were intercepted, Ms. Cosby and Ms. Van Susteren should be permitted to discover precisely what was heard and recorded so that this knowledge can guide their future actions with respect to information obtained in their conversations with Mr. Peterson.

Moreover, there is no compelling need to keep the contents of Ms. Cosby and Ms. Van Susteren's conversations with Mr. Peterson confidential. There is no ongoing investigation to protect: the police investigation of Mr. Peterson has presumably concluded, as he has been arrested and charged with his wife's murder. Thus, an order

26

27

Rhonda J. Hurt Name

25

26

27

JUN-04-2003 12:26 209 948 0806 10:48em From-HOGAN & HATTON T-685 P.809/019 Jun-04--03 Service List Fax: (213) 625-1600 Mark Geragos GERAGOS & GERAGOS 350 S. Grand Avenue, #3900 Los Angeles, CA 90071 Fax: (209) 525-5545 Rick Disataso STANISLAUS COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 800 11<sup>th</sup> Street, Room 200 Modesto, CA 95353 

P.08/18

F-216

DECLARATION OF AMY M. GALLEGOS

21333767111

209 948 0806

P.014/019

T-685

P.13/18

F-316

JUN-04-2003

10:47am

Jun-04-03

12:28

W. A. BE45640000 . 171813-1

From-HOGAN & HATTON

S

6

**'7** 

B

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

## EXHIBIT

JAMES C. BRAZELTON Stanislaus Connry District Aromey 800 11\* Street, Room #200 Modesto, California 95353 (209) 525-5550 Attorneys for Applicant The People of the State of California

# IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA . IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF STANISLAUS

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY OF THE COUNTY OF STANISLAUS, FOR AN ORDER AUTHORIZING THE INTERCEPTION OF WIRE COMMUNICATIONS	) VIRETAP NO. 2 ) INVENTORY PURSUANT TO ) PENAL CODE SECTION 629.68;
WIKE COMMUNICATIONS	_i

Pursuant to Penal Code Section 629.68, you are hen:by notified:

On Jamery 10, 2003, the Stanislans County Superior Count authorized Stanislans County Wiretap No. 2, authorizing the interception of wire communications for a period of thirty days commencing on that date. Manitoring of communications under this order was terminated on February 4, 2003. During the period covered by the order, communications were intercepted. Dated: May 2 2 2003.

Respectfully submitted,

JAMES C. BRAZELTON, District Attorney County of Standslaus State of California

Rick Distaso Deputy District Attorney

27

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

## PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Rhonda J. Hurt, declare as follows:

I, the undersigned, certify and declare that I am over the age of 18 years, employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California, and not a party to the above-entitled cause. My business address is Hogan & Hartson L.L.P., Biltmore Tower, 19th Floor, 500 South Grand Avenue, Los Angeles, California 90071-2611.

On June 4, 2003, I served the foregoing document described as DECLARATION OF AMY M. GALLEGOS IN SUPPORT OF MOTION OF RITA COSBY AND GRETA VAN SUSTEREN FOR AN ORDER PERMITTING INSPECTION OF INTERCEPTED COMMUNICATIONS on following person(s) in this action by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope addressed as follows:

### SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST.

- [ ] BY MAIL I am "readily familiar" with the firm 's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Los Angeles, California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presurned invalid if the postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.
- [X] BY FACSIMILE I caused said document to be transmitted by facsimile transmission to the number indicated after the address(es) noted above.
- [ ] BY PERSONAL SERVICE I caused such envelope to be delivered by hand to the office of the addressee.
- [ ] BY FEDERAL EXPRESS I caused such envelope to be delivered to Federal Express for overnight courier service to the offices of the addressee(s) listed above.
- [X] STATE I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.
- [ ] FEDERAL I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made. I hereby declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.
- Executed on June 4, 2003 at Los Angeles, California 90071-2611.

Rhonda J. Hurt Phonda 9 Murt Name Signature

27

11124 - 24408/4002 - 373045 V1

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

209 948 0806 1-003 r.wia/wia

P.18/18

2

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27.

28

FILED

03 JUN -4 PM 1: 15

CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
COUNTY OF STAHISLAUS

HOGAN & HARTSON L.L.P. Kenneth D. Klein (Cal. Bar No. 085231) Amy M. Gallegos (Cal. Bar No. 211379) 500 South Grand Avenue

3 Suite 1900

Los Angeles, California 90071 Telephone: (213) 337-67'00

Facsimile: (213) 337-67(11

Attomeys for Petitioners

6 Rita Cosby

Greta Van Susteren

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

**COUNTY OF STANISLAUS** 

Filed By Fax

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE DISTRICT ATTCIRNEY OF THE COUNTY OF STANISLAUS, FOR AN ORDER AUTHORIZING THE INTERCEPTION OF WIRE COMMUNICATIONS,

People v. Scott Peterson

Case No. 1056770

DECLARATION OF AMY M.
GALLEGOS REQUESTING ORDER
SHORTENING TIME FOR HEARING
ON THE MOTION OF RITA COSBY
AND GRETA VAN SUSTEREN FOR
AN ORDER AUTHORIZING
INSPECTIONS OF INTERCEPTED
COMMUNICATIONS; ORDER

Date: June 6, 2003 Time: 8:30 a.m.

Dept: 2

I, Amy M. Gallegos, declare:

1. I am an attorney duly licensed to practice law in the State of California and am presently an attorney with Hogan & Hartson, L.L.P., attorneys of record for Rita

Cosby and Greta Van Susteren in connection with the above-captioned matter. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated below and could and would testify to them under soath if called upon to do so.

2. Prior to seeking this order, I served, via facsimile, a copy of this request for an order shortening time, and a copy of the notice of motion and motion of Rita Cosby

and Greta Van Susteren for an Order Authorizing Inspections of Intercepted Communications on the Stanislaus County district attorney's office and on defendant's counsel, Geragos & Geragos.

3. There is good cause for an order shortening the time for hearing of Ms. Cosby and Ms. Van Susteren's Motion for an Order Authorizing Inspections of Intercepted Communications. At 8:30 on June 6, 2003, all of the parties to this matter will be before the court, and the court will hear the motions of other non-party journalists which raise identical issues. Thus, it would be expedient for the court to address Ms. Cosby and Ms. Van Susteren's motion at this time.

I declare under perialty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: June 4, 2003

### ORDER

Good cause appearing, IT IS ORDERED that the time for service of the NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR ORDER AUTHORIZING INSPECTIONS OF INTERCEPTED COMMUNICATIONS filed concurrently herewith is shortened so that the motion, served and filed on June 4 may be heard on June 6, 2003, at 8:30 a.m.

Date: June 4, 2003

Judge of the Superior Court

A. GIROLAMI

81/01.9

#### PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Rhonda J. Hurt, declare as follows:

I, the undersigned, certify and declare that I am over the age of 18 years, employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California, and not a party to the above-entitled cause. My business address is Hogan & Hartson L.L.P., Biltmore Tower, 19th Floor, 500 South Grand Avenue, Los Angeles, California 90071-2611.

On June 4, 2003, I served the foregoing document described as DECLARATION OF AMY M. GALLEGOS REQUESTING ORDER SHORTENING TIME FOR HEARING ON THE MOTION OF RITA COSBY AND GRETA VAN SUSTEREN FOR AN CROER AUTHORIZING INSPECTIONS OF INTERCEPTED COMMUNICATIONS; ORDER on following person(s) in this action by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope addressed as follows:

#### SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST.

- [ ] BY MAIL I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Los Angeles, California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if the postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.
- [X] BY FACSIMILE I caused said document to be transmitted by facsimile transmission to the number indicated after the address(es) noted above.
- [ ] BY PERSONAL SERVICE I caused such envelope to be delivered by hand to the office of the addressee.
- [ ] BY FEDERAL EXF'RESS I caused such envelope to be delivered to Federal Express for overnight courier service to the offices of the addressee(s) listed above.
- [X] <u>STATE</u> I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.
- [ ] FEDERAL I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made. I hereby declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on June 4, 2003 at Los Angeles, California 90071-2611.

Rhonda J. Hurt Rhonda 2, Hurt Name Signature

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1	Service L	
2		
3	Mark Geragos GERAGOS & GERAGOS	Fax:
4	350 S. Grand Avenue, #3900 Los Angeles, CA 90071	
5	Rick Disataso	Fax:
6	STANISHALIS COUNTY	1 470
7	DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 800 11th Street, Room 200 Modesto, CA 95353	
8	Wodesto, OA 35055	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

Fax: (213) 625-1600

Fax: (209) 525-5545

From-HockW & HARTSON

27