FILED GERAGOS & GERAGOS 1 03 JUN 19 PM 2: 17 **LAWYERS** 2 CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT COUNTY OF STANISLAUS 39™ FLOOR 350 S. GRAND AVENUE 3 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071-3480 TELEPHONE (213) 625-3900 4 FACSIMILE (213) 625-1600 5 MARK J. GERAGOS SBN 108325 Attorney for Defendant SCOTT LEE PETERSON 6 McALLISTER & McALLISTER, Inc. 1012 11th Street, Suite 100 Modesto, CA 95354 KIRK W. McALLISTER SBN 47324 Attorney for Defendant SCOTT LEE PETERSON 9 10 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 FOR THE COUNTY OF STANISLAUS 12 13 Case No. 1056770 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF 14 CALIFORNIA. STIPULATION TO CONTINUE BRIEFING SCHEDULE AND 15 Plaintiff. HEARING ON DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SANCTIONS RE 16 VS. WIRETAPS;[proposed] ORDER 17 SCOTT LEE PETERSON, 18 DATE: June 26, 2003 Defendant. 19 TIME: 8:30 a.m. PLACE: Dept 2 20 21 CLERK OF THE ABOVE-ENTITLED COURT: 22 TO: The People of the State of California and Defendant Scott Lee Peterson ("Mr. 23 Peterson") respectfully request that the Court continue the briefing schedule and hearing 24

This joint request is based on the fact that the defense has not yet received

date related to Mr. Peterson's motion for sanctions regarding wiretaps. Counsel have

spoken regarding this issue and agreed such a continuance will further the interest of

25

26

27

28

justice.

5

3

7

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

discovery of all conversations between Mr. Peterson and the media. As the Court will recall, during the June 6, 2003 hearing the Court ordered that the taped conversations between the media and Mr. Peterson would be released to both the prosecution and the defense at 12 noon on June 17, 2003. The defense cannot properly prepare its motion until these tapes have been reviewed. Additionally, the defense and prosecution have become aware of a related discovery issue on which they hope to seek the Court's guidance during the June 26, 2003 hearing.

In light of the foregoing, the parties respectfully request that the Court continue this matter until the defense has been supplied with all wiretap-related discovery and the parties have received the Court's guidance as to the new discovery issue.

Dated: June 18, 2003

713P721PRR

13

By:

MARK I GERAGOS
Attorney for Defendant
SCOTT LEE PETERSON

JAMES C. BRAZELTON

Respectfully submitted,

& GERAGOS

Dated: June 18, 2003

By:

RICK DISTASO

District Attonmey

Deputy District Attorney

'The Court set June 17, 2003 as the date to permit the media to appeal. The Fifth Appellate District denied the media's Petition (Case No. F043224) and the Supreme Court denied the media's Petition for Review (Case No. S116683).

²The defense believes there may be additional wiretap-related discovery not covered by this Court's prior discovery orders.

[proposed] ORDER

GOOD CAUSE HAVING BEEN SHOWN, it is hereby ordered that the briefing schedule and hearing on Mr. Peterson's motion for sanctions regarding wiretaps, be, and hereby is, continued pending the June 26, 2003 hearing in this matter.

IT IS SO ORDERED.



JAMES C. BRAZELTON District Attorney 2 Stanislaus County Courthouse 3 Modesto, California Telephone: 525-5550 4 Attorney for Plaintiff 5 6 7 STANISLAUS COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT 8 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 ------------10 D.A. No. 1056770 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA No. 1056770 11 Plaintiff, Hrq: 6/6/03Time: 8:30 a.m. 12 Dept: 2/8 vs. 13 DECLARATION OF SCOTT LEE PETERSON, SERVICE BY FAX 14 Defendant. 15 _____ 16 I, the undersigned, say: 17 I was at the time of service of the attached REQUEST FOR COURT REVIEW OF WIRETAPE RECORDINGS RECOVERED FROM AUDIO BUFFER 18 over the age of eighteen years. I served by fax a copy of the 19 20 above-entitled document(s) on the 19th day of June, 2003, delivering a copy thereof to the office(s) of: 21 22 Mark Geragos Attorney for Defendant Fax No. (213)625-1600 23 24 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is 25 true and correct. 26 Executed this 19th day of June, 2003, at Modesto, Maren Hughes 27 California. 28∥ kjh