2728

The Motion will be based upon the grounds that the warrants in support of the applications for Wiretaps Nos. 2 and 3 contained numerous misstatements and omissions.

MOTION FOR FRANKS HEARING

GERAGOS & GERAGOS

The Motion will be based on this Notice, the attached memorandum of points and authorities, the pleadings and records on file herein, and upon such other and further argument as may be presented to the Court at the hearing of this matter. Respectfully submitted, Dated: October 6, 2003 GERAGOS & GERAGOS By: Attorney for Defendant SCOTT LEE PETERSON **MOTION** Scott Lee Peterson, by and through counsel, hereby moves the Court for an order(s) directing one or more of the following: The conducting of a Franks hearing as to Wiretap Nos. 2 and 3.; and, 1. discovery; and, Granting whatever other relief the Court may deem necessary and 2. appropriate to further the ends of justice. Respectfully submitted, Dated: October 6, 2003 GERAGOS & GERAGOS By: Attorney for Defendant SCOTT LEE PETERSON 111 111 111

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I.

INTRODUCTION

As Mr. Peterson has set forth in great detail in prior filings, including his [unredacted] motion to suppress Wiretap Nos. 2 and 3, the Affidavits of Stephen Jacobson filed in support of the applications for the wiretaps were replete with false statements or omissions. Consequently, Mr. Peterson believes the appropriate manner in which the Court should address Wiretap Nos. 2 and 3 is to conduct a *Franks* hearing prior to hearing the merits of Mr. Peterson's 1538.5 motion to suppress.

II.

FALSE STATEMENTS OR OMISSIONS VITIATE PROBABLE CAUSE

An accused has the right to attack the truthfulness of search warrant allegations

[W]here the defendant makes a substantial preliminary
showing that a false statement knowingly and intentionally, or
with reckless disregard for the truth, was included by the
affiant in the warrant affidavit, and if the allegedly false
statement is necessary to the finding of probable cause, the
Fourth Amendment requires that a hearing be held at the
defendant's request. In the event that at that hearing the
allegation of perjury or reckless disregard is established by the
defendant by a preponderance of the evidence, and, with the
affidavit's false material set to one side, the affidavit's
remaining content is insufficient to establish probable cause,
the search warrant must be voided and the fruits of the search
excluded to the same extent as if probable cause was lacking
on the face of the affidavit.

(Franks v. Delaware (1978) 438 U.S. 154, 155-156, 57 L.Ed.2d 667-668, 98 S.Ct. 2674,

2676-2677; see also People v. Benjamin (1999) 77 Cal. App. 4th 264, 267-268.)

This is known as a Franks hearing. (See U.S. v. Maro (7th Cir. 2001) 272 F.3d 817, 821) Evidence will be adduced at the preliminary examination showing that false and that misleading statements and omissions were included in the affidavits for wiretap which vitiate the finding of probable cause.

CONCLUSION

IIJ.

In light of the foregoing, Mr. Peterson respectfully requests that the Court grant the relief requested.

Dated: October 6, 2003

Respectfully submitted,

GERAGOS & GERAGOS

By:

PROOF OF SERVICE BY FAX STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 350 S. Grand Avenue, 39th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90071.

On execution date set forth below, I served the following

DOCUMENTS OR DOCUMENTS DESCRIBED AS:

- 1) Motion to Exclude Testimony of Hypnotized Witness Kristen Dempewolf
- 2) Motion In Limine to Exclude Mitochondrial DNA Evidence
- 3) Motion In Limine to Exclude GPS Tracking Evidence
- 4) Motion to Exclude Dog Trailing Evidence
- 5) Motion to Conduct Franks Hearing

placing a true copy thereof enclosed in sealed envelopes with postage thereon fully prepaid, to the attorneys and their perspective addresses listed below, in the United States Mail at Los Angeles, California.

X transmitting by facsimile transmission the above document to the attorneys listed below at their receiving facsimile telephone numbers. The sending facsimile machine I used, with telephone number (213) 625-1600, complied with C.R.C. Rule 2003(3). The transmission was reported as complete and without error.

personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the party or parties listed below, or to their respective agents or employees.

PARTIES SERVED BY FAX:

Rick Disatso, DDA David P. Harris, DDA Kirk McAllister Fax No.:209-575-0240

Fax No.: 209-525-5545

Executed on October 6, 2003, at Los Angeles, California.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct.

RAFFI NALJIAN

DOCUMENT FIVE

MOTION TO CONDUCT FRANKS HEARING